1	JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 6182
2	CHRISTOPHER B. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 14600
3	THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP, P.C
	2340 Paseo Del Prado, Suite D-305
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
	Telephone: (702) 405-0001
5	Facsimile: (702) 405-8454
	Email: john@wrightlawgroupnv.com
6	chris@wrightlawgroupnv.com
	Attorneys for Defendant
7	VEGAŠ PROPERTY SERVICES, INC.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE
ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC., a Nevada corporation; OPULENCE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01798-APG-PAL

ORDER DEFENDANT VEGAS **PROPERTY** INC. SERVICES, ANSWER AND **COUNTER-**AMEND **AGAINST** PLAINTIFF. CLAIM **FEDERAL NATIONAL** MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION

AND

STIPULATION AND ORDER **PLAINTIFF** ALLOW FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO **VEGAS PROPERTY** SERVICES, INC.'S **REQUEST DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO FRCP 56(d)** AND VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, **OPPOSITION** TO **FEDERAL** MORTGAGE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION'S COUNTER-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to LR 6-1, Plaintiff FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION and Defendant VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED that Defendant VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, LLC may amend second amended answer [ECF # 40] in order to add a counter-claim

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

against Plaintiff, Federal National Mortgage Association.

Defendant's current counsel was retained after Defendant's original answer [ECF # 20] was filed, and Defendant's prior counsel did not assert any such counter-claim. For the convenience of the parties and witnesses, and in order to maximize judicial economy and avoid duplicative litigation, the parties agree to allow Defendant to amend its answer and assert said counter-claim against Federal National Mortgage Association. This request is made in good faith, and not for the purposes of delay.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that Plaintiff Federal National Mortgage Association shall have up to, and including, May 11, 2018 to file its response(s) to Vegas Property Service, Inc.'s request for discovery pursuant to FRCP 56(d) [ECF # 49] and Vegas Property Service, Inc.'s opposition to Federal National Mortgage Association's Countermotion for Summary

Defendant's proposed amended answer and counter-claim is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that this stipulation shall have no preclusive effect on either dispositive motion currently pending in this matter, namely: Vegas Property Service, Inc's Motion to Dismiss Federal National Mortgage Association's First Amended

Judgment [ECF #50]. This request is made in good faith, and not for the purposes of delay.

Page 2 of 3

1	Complaint [ECF # 36]; and Federal National N	Mortgage Association's Countermotion for Summary
2	Judgment [ECF # 41].	
3		
4	DATED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2018.	DATED this 19th day of April, 2018.
5	THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP, P.C.	WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP
6		
7	/s/ John Henry Wright JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ.	<u>/s/ Christina Miller</u> DANA JONATHON NITZ, ESQ.
8	Nevada Bar No. 6182	Nevada Bar No. 0050
9	CHRISTOPHER B. PHILLIPS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14600	CHRISTINA V. MILLER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12448
10	2340 Paseo Del Prado, Suite D-305 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	7785 W. Sahara Ave. Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
11	Attorneys for Defendant VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, LLC	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, FEDERAL NATIONAL HOME LOAN
12		MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION
13	C	ORDER .
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	~ >	1 1
16		INITES TATES MACISTRATE HIDGE

May 3, 2018 DATED: \_

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

VS.

1	JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ.				
2	Nevada Bar No. 6182 CHRISTOPHER B. PHILLIPS, ESQ.				
3	Nevada Bar No. 14600 THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP, P.C. 2340 Paseo Del Prado, Suite D-305				
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 405-0001 Facsimile: (702) 405-8454 Email: john@wrightlawgroupnv.com				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT			
10	DISTRICT O	OF NEVADA			
11	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE	Case No. 2:17-cv-0			

ASSOCIATION,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01798-APG-PAL

**DEFENDANT VEGAS PROPERTY** SERVICE, INC'S THIRD AMENDED ANSWER, CROSS-CLAIM, and **COUNTER-CLAIM** 

VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC., a Nevada corporation; OPULENCE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation, Defendants.

Plaintiff,

VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC., a Nevada corporation,

**Cross-Claimant** 

VS.

GLADYS FUENTES, an Individual, 22

Cross-Defendant

COMES NOW Defendant VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC., ("Vegas") by and through its attorney of record, JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ., of THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP, P.C., and hereby submits its Third Amended Answer to Plaintiff FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION'S Complaint [ECF # 1] filed on June 29, 2017 as follows:

5

1

# 6 7

8 9

## 10 11

12 13

# 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



1. VEGAS admits the allegations contained in paragraphs 9, 11, 57, 123, and 133 of the Complaint.

- 2. VEGAS denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 88, 89, 90, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 116, 117, 118, 119, 125, 126, 127, 128, 134, 135, 136, 137, 143, 144, 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, and 155 of the Complaint.
- 3. VEGAS is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 98, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 121, 122, 124, 130, 131, 132, 139, 140, 141, 142, and 149 of the Complaint.
- In answering paragraph 14 of the complaint, Vegas admits that it is a domestic business entity formed pursuant to and conducting business subject to the laws of the State of Nevada and that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, but is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained therein and therefore denies said allegations.
- 5. In answering paragraph 96 of Plaintiff's complaint, Vegas denies the allegation that it "knew that Plaintiff would rely on the Mortgage Protection Clause contained in the recorded CC&Rs which are of public record, and knew that Plaintiff, or its predecessors, agents, servicers, or trustees, would not know that HOA was foreclosing on super-priority amounts because of the failure of HOA and HOA trustee to provide such notice" and is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained therein and therefore denies said allegations.
- 6. In answering paragraph 97 of Plaintiff's complaint, Vegas denies the allegation that "defendant's knew that prospective bidders would be less likely to attend the HOA sale because the public at large believed that Plaintiff was protected under the Mortgage Protection Clause in the CC&Rs of public record, and that the public at large did not receive notice, constructive or actual, that the HOA was foreclosing on a super-priority portion of its lien because HOA and HOA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



Trustee improperly failed to provide such notice" and is without sufficient information	OI
knowledge to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained there	ein
and therefore denies the remaining allegations.	

- 7. In answering paragraphs 106, 120, 129, 138, and 147 of the Complaint, VEGAS reasserts each and every previous response to each repeated allegation.
- 8. Each and every other allegation in the Complaint not specifically admitted or denied herein is denied.
- 9. Vegas offers no responses to paragraphs 41-56 and 107 as Plaintiff's complaint omits paragraphs 41-56 and 105 without explanation.

### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

### FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff's complaint, in whole or in part, fails to state a claim against Vegas for which relief can be granted.

### SECOND DEFENSE

At all material times, Vegas acted in good faith and exercised its lawful rights in dealing with Plaintiff.

### THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by its own failure to deal in good faith and deal fairly with Vegas.

### FOURTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff is estopped from bringing this action.

### **FIFTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff has failed to satisfy conditions precedent to further performance of any legal obligations of Vegas.

### SIXTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to comply with appropriate state and federal laws.

### SEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to exhaust all administrative and contractual remedies before

### Case 2:17-cv-01798-APG-PAL Document 54-1 Filed 04/25/18 Page 4 of 14

**THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP P.C.**2340 Paseo Del Prado, Suite D-305
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Tel: (702) 405-0001 Fax: (702) 405-8454

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

commencing litigation.

EIGHTH	<b>DEFENSE</b>
	DELENSE

Plaintiff has failed to mitigate its damages, if any.

### **NINTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff has failed to join all parties necessary for just adjudication of the claims at issue in this action.

### TENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by reason of illegality.

### **ELEVENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's damages, if any were caused by economic and other conditions that were beyond the control of Vegas.

### TWELFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred in that it acquiesced in or consented to all actions taken by Vegas.

### THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Any claim asserted by Plaintiff is barred by laches of Plaintiff in pursuing such claim.

### FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff has not and will not sustain any injury or damages as a result of Vegas's alleged acts and/or omissions.

### FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

Vegas alleges that under the factual circumstances set forth in Plaintiff's complaint, there was a modification of the provisions, duties, and obligations therein, and that modification is an absolute defense to any recovery of behalf of the Plaintiff against Vegas.

### SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to disclose necessary information and Vegas relied on this omission.

### SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's alleged damages, if any, were directly caused by the negligence of Plaintiff or its agents.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EIGHTEENTH 1	DEFENSE
--------------	---------

Plaintiff failed to comply with a pre-existing duty.

### NINETEENTH DEFENSE

Vegas was authorized and privileged to do all acts alleged in Plaintiff's complaint.

### TWENTIETH DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred from maintaining this action by virtue of its own unclean hands and inequitable conduct.

### **TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE**

Plaintiff claims are barred by its own failure to deal fairly with Vegas.

### TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff would by unjustly enriched if it was allowed to recover on its demands, or any portion, thereof.

### TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE

Vegas is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, or its damages, if any, should be reduced because Plaintiff violated certain state and federal laws.

### TWENTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

By virtue of the acts, deed, conduct, and/or failure or omissions to act under circumstances, Plaintiff has waived its rights, if any existed, to assert the claims against Vegas.

### TWENTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to comply with normal and accepted business practices.

### TWENTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits and, as such, is not entitled to injunctive relief.

### TWENTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate that it has been irreparably harmed by Defendant's alleged conduct, and as such, is not entitled to injunctive relief.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Vegas was a bona fide purchaser of the property at issue.

### TWENTY-NINTH DEFENSE

Vegas reserves the right to add or modify the affirmative defenses listed herein.

### THIRTIETH DEFENSE

Defendant hereby incorporates those the affirmative defenses enumerated in Rule 8 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Defendant Vegas requests judgment against Plaintiff as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing for its Complaint.
- For a declaration and determination that VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC 2. is the rightful owner of title to the Property, and that Plaintiff be declared to have no right, title or interest in the Property.
- 3. For an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit; and
- 4. For any further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2018.

THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP, PC

/s/John Henry Wright JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6182

CHRISTOPHER B. PHILLIPS, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 14600

2340 Paseo Del Prado, Suite D-305

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 405-0001 Facsimile: (702) 405-8454 Attorneys for Defendant

VEGAŠ PROPERTY SERVICES, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC. CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST GLADYS **FUENTES**

COMES NOW, Cross-claimant VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC., ("Vegas") by and through its counsel of record, JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ., of the WRIGHT LAW GROUP, P.C., and for its CROSS-CLAIM, does hereby allege and aver as follows:

- 1. Vegas at all times relevant herein was and is a Nevada corporation in good standing.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendant GLADYS FUENTES ("Fuentes") is an individual person, and at all times relevant herein was a citizen and resident of the State of Nevada and was the prior owner of 5415 W. Harmon Ave., No. 2114, Las Vegas, Nevada 89103; **APN 163-24-714-098** (the "Property") who may claim an interest through a Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed recorded against the property on February 28, 2001 as Instrument No. 20010228-0002474.
- The Property was acquired by Vegas on March 26, 2015, by successfully bidding 3. on the Property at a publicly-held foreclosure auction in accordance with NRS 116.3116, et. seq. ("Association foreclosure sale") and by paying the sum of \$40,300.00.
- 4. On or about April 10, 2015, the resulting trustee's deed upon sale was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument Number 20150410-0000347 ("Foreclosure Deed").
- 5. Since the Association foreclosure sale, Counter-claimant has expended additional funds and resources in relation to the Property.
- 6. Upon information and belief, the foreclosure sale was conducted by Assessment Management Services, as agent for the Opulence Condominium Association (the "Association") pursuant to the powers conferred by the Nevada Revised Statutes 116.3116, 116.31162-116.31168, the Association's governing documents (CC&R's) recorded as Instrument No. 941004.01252 and a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien recorded on April 7, 2014 as Instrument No. 20140407-0000230.
- 7. As recited in the Foreclosure Deed, the Association foreclosure sale complied with all requirements of law, including but not limited to, recording and mailing of copies of Notice of Delinquent Assessments and Notice of Default, and the recording, posting and publication of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

N T . *	C C 1			1 1	T 1	т .
Notica	ot Vala	20 120	nnrad	htr N	Namada	2337
NOLICE	OI Saic	asicu	ıuncu	DV	Nevada	Law

- 8. Pursuant to NRS 116.3116(2), the entire Association Lien is prior to all other liens and encumbrances of unit except:
  - (a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration and, in a cooperative, liens and encumbrances which the association creates, assumes or takes subject to;
  - (b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent or, in a cooperative, the first security interest encumbering only the unit's owner's interest and perfected before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent; and
  - (c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit or cooperative.
- 9. NRS 116.3116(2) further provides that a portion of the Association Lien, up to a maximum of nine months, has priority over even a first security interest [first deed of trust] in the Property:

[the Association Lien] is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien[.]

- 10. Upon information and belief, the Association took the necessary action to trigger the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
- 11. Upon information and belief, no party still claiming an interest in the Property recorded a lien or encumbrance prior to the declaration creating the Association.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Vegas's bid on the Property was in excess of the amount necessary to satisfy the costs of sale and the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
  - 13. Upon information and belief, the Association or its agent, distributed or should have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

distributed the excess funds to lien holders in order of priority pursuant to NRS 116.3114©.

- 14. Upon information and belief, Cross-defendant had actual or constructive notice of the requirement to pay assessments to the Association and of the Association Lien.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Cross-defendant had actual or constructive notice of the Association's foreclosure proceedings.
- 16. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no individual or entity paid the full amount of delinquent assessments described in the Notice of Default or record or otherwise perfect any attempted partial tender thereof to provide any actual or constructive notice of the lien dispute so as to cause or create a duty of inquiry as to Vegas.
- 17. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no notice was provided that any individual or entity tendered or paid the entirety of the super priority portion of the Association Lien or as required under NRS §116.3116(2) representing 9 months of assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration for the relevant time period.
- 18. Pursuant to NRS 116.31166, the foreclosure sale vested title in Vegas "without equity or right of redemption," and the Foreclosure Deed is conclusive against the Property's "former owner, his or her heirs and assigns, and all other persons."
- 19. Cross-defendant Feuntes's ownership interests in the Property were extinguished by the foreclosure of the Association Lien.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq., NRS 40.10 & NRS 116.3116)

- 20. Vegas repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein and incorporates the same by reference.
- 21. Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq. and NRS 40.010, this Court has the power and authority to declare Vegas's rights and interests in the Property and to resolve the Crossdefendant's adverse claims in the Property.
- 22. Vegas is entitled to a declaratory judgment from this Court finding that: (1) Vegas is the title owner of the Property; (2) the Foreclosure Deed is valid and enforceable; (3) the

Association foreclosure sale extinguished Cross-defendant's ownership and security interests in
the Property; and (4) Vegas's rights and interest in the Property are superior to any adverse interest
claimed by Cross-defendant.

- 23. Vegas seeks an order from the Court quieting title to the Property in favor of Vegas.
  WHEREFORE, Cross-claimant prays this Honorable Court will award the following
  RELIEF:
- 1. For an Order Quieting title in favor of Vegas and for a declaration and determination that VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC is the rightful owner of title to the Property, and that Cross-defendant be declared to have no right, title or interest in the Property.
  - 2. For general and special damages in excess of \$10,000.00
  - 3. For an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit; and
  - 4. For any further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2018.

### THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP, PC

// John Henry Wright
JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6182
CHRISTOPHER B. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 14600
2340 Paseo Del Prado, Suite D-305
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-claimant
VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC.

# <u>VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC. COUNTERCLAIM AGAINST PLAINTIFF</u> <u>FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION</u>

COMES NOW, Counter-claimant VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC., by and through its counsel of record, JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESQ., of the WRIGHT LAW GROUP, P.C., and for its Counterclaim, does hereby allege and aver as follows:

1. VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC, (hereinafter "VEGAS") at all times relevant herein was and is a Nevada corporation in good standing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. Upon information and belief, Counterclaim Defendant FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION ("Fannie Mae"), is and/or was a government-sponsored enterprise existing under the laws of the United States with its primary offices located in Washington, D.C. Fannie Mae presently claims some interest in 5415 W. Harmon Ave., No. 2114, Las Vegas, Nevada 89103; APN 163-24-714-098 (the "Property") through a deed of trust securing a loan originated by Nevada Federal Credit Union on or around April 5, 2004, recorded at instrument No. 20040413-0002176 with the Clark County Recorder, State of Nevada. The Deed of Trust was subsequently assigned to Fannie Mae in 2012 by an assignment of Deed of Trust recorded at 20120607-0000634 with the Clark County Recorder's Office.
- 3. The Property was acquired by Vegas on March 26, 2015, by successfully bidding on the Property at a publicly-held foreclosure auction in accordance with NRS 116.3116, et. seq. ("Association foreclosure sale") and by paying the sum of \$40,300.00.
- 4. On or about April 10, 2015, the resulting trustee's deed upon sale was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument Number 20150410-0000347 ("Foreclosure Deed").
- 5. Since the Association foreclosure sale, Counter-claimant has expended additional funds and resources in relation to the Property.
- Upon information and belief, the foreclosure sale was conducted by Assessment 6. Management Services, as agent for the Opulence Condominium Association (the "Association") pursuant to the powers conferred by the Nevada Revised Statutes 116.3116, 116.31162-116.31168, the Association's governing documents (CC&R's) recorded as Instrument No. 941004.01252 and a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien recorded on April 7, 2014 as Instrument No. 20140407-0000230.
- 7. As recited in the Foreclosure Deed, the Association foreclosure sale complied with all requirements of law, including but not limited to, recording and mailing of copies of Notice of Delinquent Assessments and Notice of Default, and the recording, posting and publication of the Notice of Sale as required by Nevada Law.
  - Pursuant to NRS 116.3116(2), the entire Association Lien is prior to all other liens 8.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and encumbrances of unit except:

- (a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration and, in a cooperative, liens and encumbrances which the association creates, assumes or takes subject to;
- (b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent or, in a cooperative, the first security interest encumbering only the unit's owner's interest and perfected before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent; and
- (c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit or cooperative.
- 9. NRS 116.3116(2) further provides that a portion of the Association Lien, up to a maximum of nine months, has priority over even a first security interest [first deed of trust] in the Property:

[the Association Lien] is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien[.]

- 10. Upon information and belief, the Association took the necessary action to trigger the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
- 11. Upon information and belief, no party still claiming an interest in the Property recorded a lien or encumbrance prior to the declaration creating the Association.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Vegas's bid on the Property was in excess of the amount necessary to satisfy the costs of sale and the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
- 13. Upon information and belief, the Association or its agent, distributed or should have distributed the excess funds to lien holders in order of priority pursuant to NRS 116.3114(c).
  - 14. Upon information and belief, Counter-defendant had actual or constructive notice

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the requirement to pay assessments to the Association and of the Association Lien.

- 15. Upon information and belief, Counter-defendant had actual or constructive notice of the Association's foreclosure proceedings.
- 16. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no individual or entity paid the full amount of delinquent assessments described in the Notice of Default or record or otherwise perfect any attempted partial tender thereof to provide any actual or constructive notice of the lien dispute so as to cause or create a duty of inquiry as to Vegas.
- 17. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no notice was provided that any individual or entity tendered or paid the entirety of the super priority portion of the Association Lien or as required under NRS §116.3116(2) representing 9 months of assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration for the relevant time period.
- 18. Pursuant to NRS 116.31166, the foreclosure sale vested title in Vegas "without equity or right of redemption," and the Foreclosure Deed is conclusive against the Property's "former owner, his or her heirs and assigns, and all other persons."
- 19. Counter-defendant's claimed interests in the Property were extinguished by the foreclosure of the Association Lien.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq., NRS 40.10 & NRS 116.3116)

- 20. Vegas repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein and incorporates the same by reference.
- 21. Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq. and NRS 40.010, this Court has the power and authority to declare Vegas's rights and interests in the Property and to resolve the counterdefendant's adverse claims in the Property.
- 22. Vegas is entitled to a declaratory judgment from this Court finding that: (1) Vegas is the title owner of the Property; (2) the Foreclosure Deed is valid and enforceable; (3) the Association foreclosure sale extinguished Counter-defendant's ownership and security interests in the Property; and (4) Vegas's rights and interest in the Property are superior to any adverse

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

interest	claimed	by	counter-defendant

23. Vegas seeks an order from the Court quieting title to the Property in favor of Vegas. WHEREFORE, Counter-claimant prays this Honorable Court will award the following **RELIEF:** 

- 1. For an Order Quieting title in favor of Vegas and for a declaration and determination that VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC is the rightful owner of title to the Property, and that Cross-defendant be declared to have no right, title or interest in the Property.
- 2. For general and special damages in excess of \$10,000.00
- 3. For an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit; and
- 4. For any further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2018.

THE WRIGHT LAW GROUP, PC

/s/John Henry Wright JOHN HENRY WRIGHT, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 6182 CHRISTOPHER B. PHILLIPS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 14600 2340 Paseo Del Prado, Suite D-305

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-claimant/Counter-Claimant, VEGAS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC.